

## ISOTROPY REPRESENTATION FOR HARISH-CHANDRA MODULE

HIROSHI YAMASHITA

*Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060-0810, JAPAN*

*E-mail: yamasita@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp*

We study the isotropy representation attached to an irreducible Harish-Chandra module with irreducible associated variety. It is shown that, under some assumptions, the dual of the isotropy representation in question can be characterized by means of the principal symbol of a differential operators of gradient type. By using this, the case of Harish-Chandra module of discrete series is more closely examined.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with a nontrivial involutive automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  for the symmetric decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\theta$ , where  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  denote the  $+1$  and  $-1$  eigenspaces for  $\theta$ , respectively. Let  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a connected complex algebraic group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . We assume that the natural inclusion  $\mathfrak{k} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  gives rise to a group homomorphism from  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  to  $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  through the exponential map. Here  $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  denotes the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, this homomorphism naturally induces the adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}$  of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We say that a finitely generated  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module, or a Harish-Chandra module, if the action on  $\mathbf{X}$  of the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  is locally finite and if it lifts up to a representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  through the exponential map in such a way as  $(k \cdot X \cdot k^{-1})v = (\text{Ad}(k)X)v$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $v \in \mathbf{X}$ . It is a fundamental result of Harish-Chandra that the study of irreducible admissible representations of a real semisimple Lie group essentially reduces to that of irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules.

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module. A  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable good filtration of  $\mathbf{X}$  naturally gives rise to a graded, compatible  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  annihilated by  $\mathfrak{k}$ , where  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  denotes the symmetric algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By Borho-Brylinski [1] and Vogan [22], [23], the *associated cycle*  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is defined to be the support  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  combined with the multiplicity at each

irreducible component of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$ . The support  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  is called the *associated variety* of  $\mathbf{X}$ . It is a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable affine algebraic cone contained in the set of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and each irreducible component of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  is the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  of a nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As we have shown in [6] and [27], the variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  controls some fundamental properties for  $\mathbf{X}$ .

The algebraic cycle  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  describes a sort of asymptotic behavior of  $\mathbf{X}$  (cf. [21]). Moreover, it is shown by Vogan [22, Theorem 2.13] that the multiplicity of  $\mathbf{X}$  at an irreducible component  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  can be interpreted as the dimension of a certain finite-dimensional representation  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W})$  of the isotropy subgroup  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  at an  $X \in \mathcal{O}$ . We call  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  an *isotropy representation* attached to  $\mathbf{X}$ . In terms of  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ , the associated cycle  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is expressed as

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \dim \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{O}}]. \quad (1.1)$$

Now, we assume that the associated variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is the closure of a single nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This assumption does not exclude important  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules related to elliptic orbits. In reality, it is well-known that the Harish-Chandra modules of discrete series (more generally Zuckerman derived functor modules) and also the irreducible admissible highest weight modules of hermitian Lie algebras satisfy this hypothesis.

The purpose of this paper is to study the associated cycle  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  and in particular the isotropy representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  attached to a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  with irreducible associated variety, by developing our arguments in [30] for unitary highest weight modules and also those in [29] for discrete series.

To do this, we first look at in Section 2 a relationship between the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  and the induced representation  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W}) = \text{Ind}_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W})$  of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  equipped with a natural  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -action. This amounts to a survey of some aspects of Vogan's work ([22, Sections 2–4] and [23, Lectures 6 and 7]) in a slightly modified and simplified form (but for limited  $\mathbf{X}$ 's). A reciprocity law of Frobenius type for such an induced module (Proposition 2.2) plays an important role. In fact, it is effectively used to prove an irreducibility criterion for  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  (Theorem 2.1). Also, we include a remarkable result (Theorem 2.2) on the isotropy representations for singular unitary highest weight modules, given in [30], [33] and [24].

In order to identify the isotropy representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ , it is useful to consider not only  $\text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  but also its  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite dual realized as a space of certain (vector valued) polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We present this idea in Section 3. A sufficient condition is given in Proposition 3.1 for  $\text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  being annih-

lated by the whole prime ideal  $I$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  defining  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . In such a case, the isotropy representation, more precisely, its dual  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^*$ , can be described by means of the principal symbol of a differential operator on  $\mathfrak{p}$  of gradient type (see Propositions 3.2 and 3.3).

In the last part of this paper, Section 4, we focus our attention on the irreducible Harish-Chandra modules  $\mathbf{X}$  of discrete series. As is well known, the associated variety of such an  $\mathbf{X}$  is irreducible (cf. [28], [29]). The multiplicities in the associated cycles for discrete series have been intensively studied by Chang [2], [3], by means of the localization theory of Harish-Chandra modules. He succeeds to describe  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  explicitly for the real rank one case. Taniguchi applies in [19] and [20] the results of Chang in order to specify Whittaker functions associated with discrete series for  $SU(n, 1)$ ,  $Spin(n, 1)$  and  $SO_0(2n, 2)$ . Here in this paper, we exploit another way to identify  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ , by using a realization of  $\mathbf{X}$  as the kernel of an invariant differential operator of gradient type on the Riemannian symmetric space (cf. [9], [18]; see also [26], [34]). Based on our results in Section 3 and also on the discussion in [29], we can construct a certain  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(Q_c)$  of the representation  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^*, \mathcal{W}^*)$  contragredient to  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Moreover some evidences are given for this subrepresentation being large enough in the whole  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^*$ . The gained results are summarized as Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.1.

This article is an updated and enlarged version of the informal reports [31] and [32] appeared in RIMS Kōkyūroku.

## 2. Graded module $\mathbf{X}$ and induced representation $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$

As in Section 1, let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module with irreducible associated variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . For later use, this section introduces some elementary aspects of Vogan's theory on the associated cycle and the isotropy representation attached to  $\mathbf{X}$ . The results in this section may be read off from [22] and [23] with some effort. Nevertheless, we include the proofs for these important results in order to make this paper more accessible.

### 2.1. Associated cycle and isotropy representation

First, we introduce our key notion precisely. Take an irreducible  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule  $(\tau, V_{\tau})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$ , which yields a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable good filtration of  $\mathbf{X}$

in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_0 \subset \mathbf{X}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{X}_n \subset \cdots, \quad \text{with} \\ \mathbf{X}_n := U_n(\mathfrak{g})V_\tau \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  denotes the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and we write  $U_n(\mathfrak{g})$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) for the natural increasing filtration of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . This filtration gives rise to a graded  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X}$ , annihilated by  $S(\mathfrak{k})$ , as follows:

$$M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \quad \text{with} \quad M_n := \mathbf{X}_n / \mathbf{X}_{n-1} \quad (\mathbf{X}_{-1} := \{0\}). \quad (2.2)$$

We note that

$$M_n = S^n(\mathfrak{g})V_\tau = S^n(\mathfrak{p})V_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 = V_\tau, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $S^n(\mathfrak{p})$  is the homogeneous component of the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{p})$  of degree  $n$ . By definition, the associated variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is identified with the affine algebraic variety of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by the annihilator ideal  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $M$ :

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \{Z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid f(Z) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M\} \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is viewed as the ring of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by identifying  $\mathfrak{g}$  with its dual space through the Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Throughout this section, we assume that  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  is irreducible. The Hilbert Nullstellensatz tells us that the radical of  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$  coincides with the prime ideal  $I = I(\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}))$  defining the irreducible variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$ :  $I = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M}$ . So we see  $I^n M = \{0\}$  for some positive integer  $n$ , and we write  $n_0$  for the smallest  $n$  of this nature. Then, one gets a strictly decreasing filtration of the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $M$  as

$$M = I^0 M \supsetneq I^1 M \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq I^{n_0} M = \{0\}. \quad (2.5)$$

By the *multiplicity*  $\text{mult}_I(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  at  $I$  is meant the length as an  $S(\mathfrak{g})_I$ -module of the localization  $M_I$  of  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  at the prime ideal  $I$ . Then, the associated cycle  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  turns to be

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{mult}_I(\mathbf{X}) \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{O}}] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that this cycle does not depend on the choice of a good filtration (2.1) of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

Now, let us explain how the multiplicity  $\text{mult}_I(\mathbf{X})$  can be interpreted as the dimension of an isotropy representation. For this, we take an element  $X$  in the open  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$ . Set

$$K_{\mathbb{C}}(X) := \{k \in K_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \text{Ad}(k)X = X\},$$

the isotropy subgroup of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  at  $X$ . We write  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$  for the maximal ideal of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  which defines the one point variety  $\{X\}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{m}(X) := \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{g}} (Y - B(Y, X))S(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{O}. \quad (2.7)$$

For each  $j = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1$ , we introduce a finite-dimensional representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(j)$  of  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$  acting on

$$\mathcal{W}(j) := I^j M / \mathfrak{m}(X)I^j M, \quad (2.8)$$

in the canonical way, and we set

$$(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W}) := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_0-1} (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(j), \mathcal{W}(j)). \quad (2.9)$$

We call  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  the *isotropy representation* attached to the data  $(\mathbf{X}, V_{\tau}, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $V_{\tau}$  yields the filtration (2.1) of  $\mathbf{X}$ . The following lemma (cf. [22, Corollary 2.7]; see also [31, Remark 2.2]) is essential for our succeeding discussion.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module such that  $IN = \{0\}$ . Then, the length of  $S(\mathfrak{g})_I$ -module  $N_I$  is equal to the dimension of the vector space  $N/\mathfrak{m}(X)N$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{O}$ .*

This lemma tells us that the length of the localized  $S(\mathfrak{g})_I$ -module  $(I^j M / I^{j+1} M)_I$  equals  $\dim \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(j)$ , by noting that the ideal  $I$  annihilates the subquotient  $I^j M / I^{j+1} M$  of  $M$ . Together with the exactness of localization, we immediately get the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *One has  $\text{mult}_I(\mathbf{X}) = \dim \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Moreover, the equality*

$$\text{mult}_I(\mathbf{X}) = \dim \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(0) = \dim M / \mathfrak{m}(X)M \quad (2.10)$$

*holds if and only if the support of the  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $IM$  is contained in the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{O} = \overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ .*

**Remark 2.1.** The representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(0)$  in (2.10) never vanishes because the annihilator ideal  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M / IM$  is equal to  $I$  (cf. [30, Lemma 3.4]). Moreover, the equality (2.10) holds for a number of unitary  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules

$\mathbf{X}$  with unique extreme  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -types  $V_{\tau}$ . See Example 2.1 and Theorem 4.2 (1).

## 2.2. Induced module $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$

We consider a finite-dimensional  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$ -module  $(\varpi, \mathcal{Z})$  with  $X \in \mathcal{O}$ , where  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  acts on  $\mathcal{Z}$  holomorphically. Let  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  denote the space of all left  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite, holomorphic functions  $f : K_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  satisfying

$$f(yh) = \varpi(h)^{-1}f(y) \quad (y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, h \in K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)).$$

Namely,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  consists of all  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite, holomorphic cross sections of the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -homogeneous vector bundle  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)} \mathcal{Z}$  on  $K_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ . Then,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  has a structure of  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module by the following actions:

$$(D \cdot f)(y) := \varpi(\text{Ad}(y)^{-1}D)f(y), \quad (k \cdot f)(y) := f(k^{-1}y),$$

for  $D \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$ . We call  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module induced from  $\varpi$ . We note that, if  $\mathcal{Z}$  is annihilated by the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$ , the  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -action on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  turns to be the multiplication of functions on the orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$(D \cdot f)(y) = D(\text{Ad}(y)X)f(y). \quad (2.11)$$

In this case, the annihilator in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  of any nonzero function  $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  coincides with the prime ideal  $I$  defining  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Let  $M$  be any  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module. If  $\rho$  is a homomorphism from  $M$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$  as  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$ -modules, we define a function  $T_m : K_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  for each  $m \in M$  by putting

$$T_m(y) := \rho(y^{-1} \cdot m) \quad (y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}). \quad (2.12)$$

Then it is standard to verify that  $T_m$  lies in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  and that the map  $T : m \mapsto T_m$  ( $m \in M$ ) gives an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$ . More precisely, one readily obtains the following reciprocity law of Frobenius type.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Under the above notation, the assignment  $\rho \mapsto T$  sets up a linear isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}(M, \mathcal{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}}(M, \Gamma(\mathcal{Z})). \quad (2.13)$$

Here, for  $\Omega$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$ , we denote by  $\text{Hom}_{\Omega}(A, B)$  the space of  $\Omega$ -homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $B$ .

### 2.3. Homomorphism $T = \bigoplus_j \tilde{T}(j)$

We now return to our setting in Section 2.1, where  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  for an irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  with  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . Take an integer  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j \leq n_0 - 1$ . Let  $\rho(j)$  denote the natural quotient map from  $I^j M$  to  $\mathcal{W}(j) = I^j M / \mathfrak{m}(X)I^j M$ . Correspondingly, we get an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism  $T(j) : I^j M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W}(j))$  by Proposition 2.2. It follows that

$$\text{Ker } T(j) = \bigcap_{Y \in \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}(Y)I^j M \supset I^{j+1}M, \quad (2.14)$$

by the definition of  $T(j)$  together with  $\mathfrak{m}(Y) \supset I$  ( $Y \in \mathcal{O}$ ).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Ker  $T(j)$  is the largest  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $I^j M$  among those  $N$  having the following two properties: (i)  $N \supset I^{j+1}M$ , and, (ii) the support of  $N/I^{j+1}M$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ .*

**Proof.** First, we show that  $\text{Ker } T(j)$  have two properties (i) and (ii). The inclusion (2.14) assures (i). As for (ii), we consider a short exact sequence of  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } T(j)/I^{j+1}M \rightarrow I^j M/I^{j+1}M \rightarrow I^j M/\text{Ker } T(j) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Each module is annihilated by  $I$ . In view of Lemma 2.1, we find that the multiplicity of  $I^j M/\text{Ker } T(j)$  at  $I$  is equal to the dimension of vector space

$$\begin{aligned} (I^j M/\text{Ker } T(j))/\mathfrak{m}(X)(I^j M/\text{Ker } T(j)) \\ \simeq I^j M/(\mathfrak{m}(X)I^j M + \text{Ker } T(j)) = \mathcal{W}(j). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Here, the last equality follows from  $\text{Ker } T(j) \subset \mathfrak{m}(X)I^j M$  (see (2.14)). This shows that the length of  $S(\mathfrak{g})_I$ -module  $I^j M/I^{j+1}M$  and that of  $I^j M/\text{Ker } T(j)$  coincide with one another. Hence  $(\text{Ker } T(j)/I^{j+1}M)_I$  vanishes by (2.15). This means that the support of  $\text{Ker } T(j)/I^{j+1}M$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ .

Second, let  $N$  be any  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $I^j M$  with two properties (i) and (ii) in question. (2.14) tells us that  $T(j)$  naturally induces an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module map from  $I^j M/I^{j+1}M$  to  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W}(j))$  which we denote by  $\tilde{T}(j)$ . Then,  $\tilde{T}(j)(N/I^{j+1}M)$  must vanish by virtue of (2.11) together with the property (ii) for  $N$ . This proves  $N \subset \text{Ker } T(j)$ .  $\square$

As for the injectivity of  $T(j)$ , one gets the following consequence of Proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 2.1.** *The homomorphism  $T(j) : I^j M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W}(j))$  is injective if and only if  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} m = I$  for all  $m \in I^j M \setminus \{0\}$ . In this case, one has  $I^{j+1} M = \{0\}$ , i.e.,  $j = n_0 - 1$ .*

**Example 2.1.** We encounter the situation in the above corollary with  $j = 0$ , for example, if  $\mathbf{X}$  is a unitary highest weight module of a simple hermitian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $V_\tau$  in (2.1) is the extreme  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -type of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Note that the associated variety of such an  $\mathbf{X}$  is the closure of a “holomorphic” nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . See [30, Section 3.2] for details.

Summing up  $\tilde{T}(j)$ 's on  $I^j M / I^{j+1} M$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1$ ), we obtain an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism  $T := \bigoplus_j \tilde{T}(j)$ :

$$\hat{M}(I) := \bigoplus_j I^j M / I^{j+1} M \xrightarrow{T} \bigoplus_j \Gamma(\mathcal{W}(j)) \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{W}), \quad (2.17)$$

where the support of the kernel  $\text{Ker } T$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** By using the “microlocalization technique”, Vogan constructed a new  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\mathbf{X}$  such that the corresponding graded module embeds into  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  as a representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  (see [22, Theorem 4.2]). Thanks to this result, one always has  $\mathbf{X} \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  as  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules. Noting that  $\hat{M}(I) \simeq \mathbf{X}$  as  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules, we find that the above  $T : \hat{M}(I) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  must be an isomorphism if  $T$  is surjective.

#### 2.4. Irreducibility of $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$

The results in Sections 2.1–2.3 lead us to prove the following natural criterion for the irreducibility of isotropy representation  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W})$  of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  (cf. [23, Proposition 7.6]; see also [31, Section 5]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *The following two conditions on  $\mathbf{X}$  are equivalent to each other.*

(a)  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W})$  is irreducible as a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module.

(b) If  $N$  is any  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X}$ , either the support of  $N$  or that of the quotient  $M/N$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ .

*In this case, we have  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}} = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(0)$ , or equivalently, the support of  $IM$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$  by Proposition 2.1.*

**Proof.** The implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is an easy consequence of the exactness of localization. In what follows let us prove (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). First, we note that



the condition (b) together with Remark 2.1 implies that the support of  $IM$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ . Thus one gets  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}} = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(0)$ , or,

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(0) = M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M.$$

Now, suppose by contraries that  $\mathcal{W}$  is not irreducible. Then, there exists a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -stable subspace  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $M \supsetneq C \supsetneq \mathfrak{m}(X)M$  and that  $\mathcal{Z} := M/C$  is irreducible as a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module. The condition  $C \supset \mathfrak{m}(X)M$  assures that  $C$  is  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -stable. Thus  $\mathcal{Z}$  becomes an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$ -module annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$ .

Next, we consider two induced  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  and  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$ . The quotient map  $\mathcal{W} = M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Z} = M/C$  gives rise to an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism, say  $\gamma$ , from  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  to  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  in the canonical way. Set  $T' := \gamma \circ T(0)$ , where  $T(0) : M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W})$  is the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism defined in Section 2.3. Then, as shown in the proof of [23, Proposition 7.9], the image  $T'(M)$  of  $T'$  is a finitely generated  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z})$  whose isotropy representation is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Z}$ . This combined with  $T'(M) \simeq M/\text{Ker } T'$  tells us that the multiplicity of  $\text{Ker } T'$  at the prime ideal  $I$  is equal to  $\dim \mathcal{W} - \dim \mathcal{Z} > 0$ . By the assumption (b), we find that the support of  $M/\text{Ker } T' \simeq T'(M)$  is contained in  $\partial\mathcal{O}$ . This necessarily implies  $\text{Ker } T' = M$ , i.e.,  $T' = 0$ , because the  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $T'(M) (\subset \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}))$  admits no embedded associated primes by (2.11). Finally, the resulting equality  $T' = 0$  means that

$$y^{-1} \cdot m + \mathfrak{m}(X)M = T(0)_m(y) \in C/\mathfrak{m}(X)M$$

for all  $y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $m \in M$ . This contradicts  $C \neq M$ .  $\square$

### 2.5. Case of unitary highest weight representations

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an irreducible unitary highest weight  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module of a simple hermitian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with extreme  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -type  $V_{\tau}$ .

**Example 2.2.** In [30, Section 5], we have described the isotropy representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}} = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}(0)$  explicitly, when  $\mathbf{X}$  is the theta lift of an irreducible representation of the compact groups  $G' = O(k)$ ,  $U(k)$  and  $Sp(k)$  with respect to the reductive dual pairs  $(G, G') = (Sp(n, \mathbb{R}), O(k))$ ,  $(SU(p, q), U(k))$  and  $(SO^*(2n), Sp(k))$ , respectively. In particular, one finds that the representation  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is irreducible if the dual pair  $(G, G')$  is in the stable range with smaller member  $G'$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{X} \leftrightarrow \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^*$  essentially gives the Howe duality correspondence ([10], [11], [8, Part II] etc.).

An irreducible highest weight  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  is called *singular* if the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  is strictly smaller than one half of the dimension of the corresponding hermitian symmetric space. Recently, we have described the isotropy representations by using the projection onto the PRV-component (cf. Proposition 3.3), for all singular unitary highest weight modules *which can not be obtained by the Howe duality correspondence*. This work is in collaboration with Wachi (see [24] and [33] for details). As a result, we establish the following remarkable theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The isotropy representation is irreducible and explicitly described for every irreducible singular unitary highest weight representation of a simple Lie group of hermitian type.*

The above result for DI and EVII gives a clear understanding of some multiplicity formulae obtained by Kato and Ochiai ([13], [14]). For EVII case, we get two distinguished series of isotropy representations which decompose the quasi-regular representations for the compact symmetric spaces  $S^8 \simeq SO(9)/SO(8)$  and  $P^2(\text{Cay}) \simeq F_{4(-52)}/Spin(9)$ , respectively. These decompositions can be related to tensor products of singular unitary representations in [4], by using the generalized Whittaker vectors (cf. [30]).

### 3. Utility of the dual $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module

In this section, we do *not assume a priori* that the associated variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is irreducible. Let  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X}$  be, as in (2.2), the graded  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module attached to an irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  through the filtration (2.1). For any nilpotent element  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we can define the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{W}(0) = M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M$ , and  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism  $T(0) : M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W}(0))$ , just as in Section 2. Here  $\Gamma(\mathcal{W}(0)) = \text{Ind}_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}^{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{W}(0))$  is the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module induced from  $\mathcal{W}(0)$ .

The purpose of this section is to make some simple observations concerning the associated cycle of  $\mathbf{X}$ , in connection with the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite dual  $M^*$  of  $M$  realized as a space of  $V_{\tau^*}$ -valued polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This is done by developing our arguments in [29], [30] in full generality. A sufficient condition is given in Proposition 3.1 for the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$  of  $M$  being equal to the prime ideal of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  defined by the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O} := \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})X$  through  $X$ . Furthermore, we characterize  $M^*$  as the kernel of a differential operator  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  of gradient type (Proposition 3.2). Then the principal symbol of  $\mathcal{D}$  allows us to describe the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$  dual to  $\mathcal{W}(0)$  (Proposition 3.3). The observations made in this section will be

used effectively in Section 4, in order to describe the associated cycles for  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules  $\mathbf{X}$  of discrete series.

### 3.1. $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite dual $M^*$ and its submodule $\Psi$

First, the tensor product  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}$  admits a natural structure of  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module so that  $\mathfrak{k}$  annihilates the whole  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}$ :

$$\begin{cases} D_1 \cdot (D \otimes v) := D_1 D \otimes v & (D_1 \in S(\mathfrak{p})), \\ D_2 \cdot (D \otimes v) := 0 & (D_2 \in S(\mathfrak{k})), \\ k \cdot (D \otimes v) := \text{Ad}(k)D \otimes kv & (k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $D \otimes v \in S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}$  with  $D \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $v \in V_{\tau}$ . Since  $M = S(\mathfrak{p})V_{\tau}$  with  $V_{\tau} = M_0$ , there exists a unique surjective  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism

$$\pi : S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau} \longrightarrow M$$

such that  $\pi(1 \otimes v) = v$  for  $v \in V_{\tau}$ . We write  $N$  for the kernel of  $\pi$ . This is a graded  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}$ .

On the other hand, we identify  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  canonically with the space of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{p}$  with values in  $V_{\tau}^*$ , where  $U^*$  denotes the dual space of a vector space  $U$ .  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  also becomes an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module on which  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts by directional differentiation through the quotient map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{p}$ :

$$\begin{cases} (D_1 \cdot f)(Y) := (\partial(D_1)f)(Y) & (D_1 \in S(\mathfrak{p})), \\ (D_2 \cdot f)(Y) := 0 & (D_2 \in S(\mathfrak{k})), \\ (k \cdot f)(Y) := k \cdot f(\text{Ad}(k)^{-1}Y) & (k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

for  $f \in S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Here  $D_1 \mapsto \partial(D_1)$  denotes the algebra isomorphism from  $S(\mathfrak{p})$  onto the algebra of constant coefficient differential operators on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , defined by

$$\partial(Z)f(Y) := \frac{d}{dt}f(Y + tZ)|_{t=0} \quad \text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{p}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the action of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  is locally finite. The assignment

$$\mathfrak{p} \ni X \mapsto X^* \in \mathfrak{p}^* \quad \text{with } X^*(Y) := B(X, Y) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{p}). \quad (3.4)$$

gives a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{p}$  onto  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ .

Now it is standard to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}) \times (S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*) \ni (D \otimes v, f) &\mapsto \langle D \otimes v, f \rangle \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \langle D \otimes v, f \rangle &:= (({}^T D \cdot f)(0), v)_{V_{\tau}^* \times V_{\tau}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

gives a nondegenerate  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant pairing, where  ${}^T$  denotes the principal automorphism of  $S(\mathfrak{p})$  such that  ${}^TY = -Y$  for  $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{V_{\tau}^* \times V_{\tau}}$  is the dual pairing on  $V_{\tau}^* \times V_{\tau}$ . Let  $M^*$  denote the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite dual space of  $M$ , viewed as an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module through the contragredient representation. We write  $N^{\perp}$  for the orthogonal of  $N$  in  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then, (3.5) naturally induces a nondegenerate invariant pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 : M \times N^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.6)$$

which gives an isomorphism of  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules:

$$M^* \simeq N^{\perp} \subset S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*. \quad (3.7)$$

For an integer  $n \geq 0$ , we denote by  $(N^{\perp})_n$  the homogeneous component of  $N^{\perp}$  of degree  $n$ :  $(N^{\perp})_n := N^{\perp} \cap (S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*)$ .

Let  $X$  be a nilpotent element in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Noting that  $M = V_{\tau} + \mathfrak{m}(X)M$ , we have a natural  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -homomorphism

$$V_{\tau} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{W}(0) = M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M \twoheadrightarrow 0.$$

This induces an embedding of  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$  into  $V_{\tau}^*$  as

$$\mathcal{W}(0)^* \simeq (V_{\tau}/(V_{\tau} \cap \mathfrak{m}(X)M))^* \hookrightarrow V_{\tau}^*, \quad (3.8)$$

by passing to the dual. In this way, we regard  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$  as a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule of  $V_{\tau}^*$ .

For each integer  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\Psi_n$  be the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule of  $S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  generated by the vectors  $(X^*)^n \otimes v^*$  ( $v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^*$ ):

$$\Psi_n := \langle (X^*)^n \otimes v^* \mid v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Note that the  $V_{\tau}^*$ -valued polynomial function  $(X^*)^n \otimes v^*$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  is defined by

$$(X^*)^n \otimes v^* : \mathfrak{p} \ni Z \mapsto B(X, Z)^n v^* \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We set

$$\Psi := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_n \subset S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*.$$

Then, we can show the following

**Lemma 3.1.** (1)  $\Psi$  is an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodule of  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  contained in  $N^{\perp}$ .

(2) We write  ${}^\perp\Psi$  for the orthogonal of  $\Psi$  in  $M$  with respect to the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$  on  $M \times N^\perp$ . Let  $T(0) : M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{W}(0))$  be the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism defined in Section 2.3. Then, one gets

$$\text{Ker } T(0) \cap M_n = {}^\perp\Psi \cap M_n \quad \text{for every integer } n \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

In particular,  ${}^\perp\Psi = \bigoplus_n {}^\perp\Psi \cap M_n$  is contained in  $\text{Ker } T(0)$ .

**Proof.** (1) It is easy to see that  $\Psi$  is  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -stable by noting that

$$Y \cdot ((\text{Ad}(y)X)^*)^n \otimes y \cdot v^* = nB(\text{Ad}(y)X, Y)((\text{Ad}(y)X)^*)^{n-1} \otimes y \cdot v^*$$

lies in  $\Psi_{n-1}$  for  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^*$  and  $y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ . To prove  $\Psi \subset N^\perp$ , let  $\psi_{v^*}$  denote the linear form on  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau$ , which is the pull back of  $v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^*$  through the quotient map

$$S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau \xrightarrow{-\pi} M \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}(0) = M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M.$$

Then  $\psi_{v^*}$  is zero on the subspace  $\mathfrak{m}(X) \otimes V_\tau + N \subset S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau$ .

If  $\tilde{m} = \sum_j Y_j^n \otimes v_j$  ( $Y_j \in \mathfrak{p}, v_j \in V_\tau$ ) is a homogeneous element of  $N$  of degree  $n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{m}, (X^*)^n \otimes v^* \rangle &= \sum_j (-1)^n n! B(X, Y_j)^n (v_j, v^*)_{V_\tau \times V_\tau^*} \\ &= (-1)^n n! \psi_{v^*}(\tilde{m}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by noting that  $Y_j^n - B(X, Y_j)^n \in \mathfrak{m}(X)$ . Hence one gets  $((\text{Ad}(y)X)^*)^n \otimes y \cdot v^* \in y \cdot N^\perp = N^\perp$  for all  $v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^*$ ,  $y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Thus we obtain (1).

(2) Let  $m = \sum_j Y_j^n \cdot v_j$  be an element of  $M_n$  with  $Y_j \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $v_j \in V_\tau$ . Just as in the proof of (1), we see that  $m \in {}^\perp\Psi$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle m, ((\text{Ad}(y)X)^*)^n \otimes y \cdot v^* \rangle_1 \\ &= \sum_j (-1)^n n! B(Y_j, \text{Ad}(y)X)^n (y^{-1} \cdot v_j, v^*)_{V_\tau \times V_\tau^*} \\ &= (-1)^n n! (T(0)_m(y), v^*)_{\mathcal{W}(0) \times \mathcal{W}(0)^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v^* \in \mathcal{W}(0)^*$  and  $y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ . This means  $m \in \text{Ker } T(0)$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. A sufficient condition for $I = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})X$  be the nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit through  $X$ . We write  $I$  for the prime ideal of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  defining the Zariski closure  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and let  $\{D_i \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  be a finite set of homogeneous elements of  $S(\mathfrak{p})$  which generates the ideal  $I$ . We set  $n(i) := \deg D_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $n(0) := 0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $\Psi_{n(i)} = (N^\perp)_{n(i)}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Then we have  $I = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{X}$  has the irreducible associated variety  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ , and the corresponding associated cycle  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  turns to be*

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \dim \mathcal{W}(0) \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{O}}].$$

**Proof.** We see for every  $v \in V_\tau$  that

$$T(0)_{D_i v}(y) = (D_i \cdot T(0)_v)(y) = D_i(\text{Ad}(y)X)T(0)_v(y) = 0 \quad (y \in K_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

since  $D_i \in I$  and  $\text{Ad}(y)X \in \mathcal{O}$ . It then follows that  $D_i v = 0$  by Lemma 3.1 (2) together with the assumption  $\Psi_{n(i)} = (N^\perp)_{n(i)}$ , which is equivalent to  ${}^\perp\Psi \cap M_{n(i)} = \{0\}$ . Hence,  $D_i$  annihilates  $V_\tau$  and so the whole  $M = S(\mathfrak{p})V_\tau$ . This shows  $I \subset \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$ .

Now,  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$  cannot vanish by the assumption  $\Psi_0 = (N^\perp)_0 \simeq V_\tau^*$ . This implies  $\mathfrak{m}(X) \supset \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$ . In reality, if  $\mathfrak{m}(X) \not\supset \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$ , one gets  $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{m}(X) + \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$  by the maximality of  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$ . This yields

$$M = S(\mathfrak{g})V_\tau = \mathfrak{m}(X)V_\tau \subset \mathfrak{m}(X)M, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{W}(0) = \{0\}.$$

Hence we deduce

$$I = \bigcap_{k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathfrak{m}(\text{Ad}(k)X) \supset \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M,$$

because  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$  is stable under  $\text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

Thus we find  $I = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}M$  and in particular  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . The last assertion follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

Under the assumption in Proposition 3.1, the  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\Psi$  is almost equal to  $N^\perp \simeq M^*$ , in the sense that the support of the orthogonal  ${}^\perp\Psi \subset \text{Ker} T(0)$  is contained in the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{O}$  by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.1.

### 3.3. Differential operator of gradient type

We wish to characterize  $N^\perp \simeq M^*$  as the kernel of a certain differential operator on  $\mathfrak{p}$  of gradient type. For this, we first take an orthonormal basis  $(X_1, \dots, X_s)$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the Killing form  $B|_{\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}}$ . Then,  $(X_1^*, \dots, X_s^*)$  (cf. (3.4)) gives a basis of  $\mathfrak{p}^*$ , dual to  $(X_1, \dots, X_s)$ . For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$  of nonnegative integers  $\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ), we set

$$D^\alpha := X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_s^{\alpha_s}, \quad (D^*)^\alpha := (X_1^*)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (X_s^*)^{\alpha_s}.$$

Then, the elements  $D^\alpha$  (resp.  $(D^*)^\alpha$ ) with  $|\alpha| = n$  form a basis of  $S^n(\mathfrak{p})$  (resp.  $S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ ) for every integer  $n \geq 1$ , where  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s$  denotes the length of  $\alpha$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(D^\alpha)(D^*)^\beta &= \alpha! \cdot \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ (Kronecker's } \delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \quad \text{and} \\ \partial(D^\alpha)(Z^*)^n &= n!(D^*)^\alpha(Z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta$  of length  $n$ , and  $Z \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Here, we set  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_s!$ . Note that the above functions  $\partial(D^\alpha)(D^*)^\beta$  and  $\partial(D^\alpha)(Z^*)^n$  are constant on  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

We now introduce a *gradient map*  $\nabla^n$  of order  $n$  by

$$(\nabla^n f)(Y) := \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (D^*)^\alpha \otimes \partial(D^\alpha)f(Y), \quad (3.12)$$

for  $f \in S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ . It is easy to observe that  $\nabla^n f$  is independent of the choice of an orthonormal basis  $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ . Furthermore,  $\nabla^n$  gives an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism

$$S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^* \ni f \xrightarrow{\nabla^n} \nabla^n f \in S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes (S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*),$$

where  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes (S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*)$  is looked upon as the space of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{p}$  with values in  $S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *It holds that  $\nabla^n f = 1 \otimes f$  for every  $f \in S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ , where 1 denotes the identity element of  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ . Namely,  $\nabla^n f$  is the constant function on  $\mathfrak{p}$  with the value  $f \in S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ .*

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the lemma for  $f = (D^*)^\beta \otimes v^*$  with  $|\beta| = n$  and  $v^* \in V_\tau^*$ . In view of (3.11),  $\nabla^n f$  turns to be

$$\nabla^n f(Y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (D^*)^\alpha \otimes (\partial(D^\alpha)(D^*)^\beta)(Y)v^* = (D^*)^\beta \otimes v^* = f,$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

We note that our submodule  $N$  of  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau$  is finitely generated over  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ , since the ring  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  is Noetherian and since  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau = S(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot V_\tau$ . Hence, there exist a finite number of homogeneous  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodules  $W_u \subset N$  ( $u = 1, \dots, q$ ) which generate  $N$  over  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ :

$$N = S(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot W_1 + \cdots + S(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot W_q \quad \text{with} \quad W_u \subset S^{i_u}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau \quad (3.13)$$

for some integers  $i_u \geq 0$  arranged as  $i_1 < \cdots < i_q$ . For each  $u = 1, \dots, q$ , let  $P_u$  denote the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -homomorphism from  $S^{i_u}(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$  to  $W_u^*$  defined by

$$P_u(h)(w) := \langle w, h \rangle \quad (w \in W_u) \quad (3.14)$$

for  $h \in S^{i_u}(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ . Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the dual pairing in (3.5).

We now set

$$W^* := \bigoplus_{u=1}^q W_u^*,$$

and let us introduce an  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D} : S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^* \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes W^*, \quad (3.15)$$

by putting

$$(\mathcal{D}f)(Y) := \sum_{u=1}^q P_u(\nabla^{i_u} f(Y)) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{p}; f \in S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*). \quad (3.16)$$

**Definition 3.1.** We call  $\mathcal{D}$  the *differential operator of gradient type* associated with  $(V_\tau^*, W^*)$ .

The space of solutions of the differential equation  $\mathcal{D}f = 0$  is characterized as follows.

**Proposition 3.2.** *One gets  $N^\perp = \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}$ . Hence, the kernel of the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is isomorphic to the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -finite dual  $M^*$  of  $M \simeq (S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau)/N$ , as  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules.*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a homogeneous element of  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$  of degree  $n$ . We are going to show that  $f$  lies in  $N^\perp$  if and only if  $\mathcal{D}f = 0$ . This will prove the proposition because both  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}$  and  $N^\perp$  are graded  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -submodules of  $S(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$ .

First, the condition  $f \in N^\perp$  is written as

$$\langle Dw_u, f \rangle = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

for all  $D \in S^{n-i_u}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $w_u \in W_u$  ( $u = 1, \dots, q$ ), by noting that  $S^m(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_\tau$  is orthogonal to  $f \in S^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes V_\tau^*$  if  $m \neq n$ . Here  $S^{n-i_u}(\mathfrak{p})$  should be understood as  $\{0\}$  if  $n - i_u < 0$ . Since the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant, (3.17) is equivalent to

$$P_u(\partial(D)f) = 0 \quad \text{for all } D \in S^{n-i_u}(\mathfrak{p}) \quad (u = 1, \dots, q). \quad (3.18)$$

We set  $\mathcal{D}_u f := P_u((\nabla^{i_u} f)(\cdot)) \in S^{n-i_u}(\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes W_u^*$ . Then, in view of Lemma 3.2, the left hand side of (3.18) turns to be

$$P_u(\partial(D)f) = P_u((\nabla^{i_u} \partial(D)f)(Y)) = (\partial(D)(\mathcal{D}_u f))(Y) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{p}).$$



We thus find that  $f$  lies in  $N^\perp$  if and only if

$$\partial(D)\mathcal{D}_u f = 0 \text{ for all } D \in S^{n-i_u}(\mathfrak{p}) \ (u = 1, \dots, q),$$

or equivalently,  $\mathcal{D}_u f = 0 \ (u = 1, \dots, q)$ . This means  $\mathcal{D}f = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

Let us define a map  $\sigma$  from  $\mathfrak{p}^* \times V_\tau^*$  to  $W^*$  by

$$\sigma(X^*, v^*) := \sum_{u=1}^q P_u((X^*)^{i_u} \otimes v^*) \quad \text{for } (X^*, v^*) \in \mathfrak{p}^* \times V^*, \quad (3.19)$$

which we call the *symbol map* of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

For any fixed  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we get the following characterization of  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule  $\mathcal{W}(0)^*$  of  $V_\tau^*$  (see (3.8)) is described as*

$$\mathcal{W}(0)^* = \text{Ker } \sigma(X^*, \cdot) := \{v^* \in V_\tau^* \mid \sigma(X^*, v^*) = 0\}, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $X^* \in \mathfrak{p}^*$  corresponds to  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  by (3.4).

This proposition can be proved just as in the proof of [30, Lemma 3.10] (see also the proof of Lemma 3.1 (1)). We omit the proof here.

#### 4. Isotropy representation attached to discrete series

In this section, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  is an equi-rank algebra (cf. [17]), i.e.,  $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}$ . By using our results in Section 3, we study the isotropy representations attached to irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules of discrete series. This develops our work in [29].

##### 4.1. Discrete series

We begin with a quick review on the discrete series representations, and let us fix our notation. As is well known, the complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a  $\theta$ -stable real form  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{k}_0 := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \mathfrak{p}_0 := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

gives a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Such a real form  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is unique up to  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -conjugacy. Take a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ , and we write  $\mathfrak{t}$  for the complexification of  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an equi-rank algebra,  $\mathfrak{t}$  turns to be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We write  $\Delta$  for the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ . The subset of compact (resp. noncompact) roots will be denoted by  $\Delta_c$  (resp.  $\Delta_n$ ).

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  such that  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexification of a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . An irreducible unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $G$  is called a member of discrete series if the matrix coefficients of  $\sigma$  are square-integrable on  $G$ . We are concerned with the irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules  $\mathbf{X}$  of discrete series, consisting of  $K$ -finite vectors for such  $\sigma$ 's. For example, we refer to [9], [18], and also [26, I, Section 1] for the parametrization and realization of discrete series representations.

Now, let  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{\Lambda}$  be the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module of discrete series with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ . Since the parameter  $\Lambda$  is regular and real on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0$ , there exists a unique positive system  $\Delta^+$  of  $\Delta$  for which  $\Lambda$  is dominant:

$$\Delta^+ := \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) > 0\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We denote by  $(\tau, V_{\tau})$  the unique lowest  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -type of  $\mathbf{X}$  which occurs in  $\mathbf{X}$  with multiplicity one. Set  $\Delta_c^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_c$  (resp.  $\Delta_n^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_n$ ). The  $\Delta_c^+$ -dominant highest weight  $\lambda$  (say) for  $\tau$  is called the Blattner parameter of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then,  $\lambda$  is expressed as  $\lambda = \Lambda - \rho_c + \rho_n$  with  $\rho_c := (1/2) \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_c^+} \alpha$  and  $\rho_n := (1/2) \cdot \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n^+} \beta$ .

#### 4.2. Results of Hotta-Parthasarathy

In what follows, we always assume that the Blattner parameter  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is *far from the walls* (defined by compact roots) in the sense of [26, I, Definition 1.7]. Let  $M = \text{gr } \mathbf{X} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$  be the graded  $(S(\mathfrak{g}), K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module defined through the lowest  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -type  $V_{\tau}$ . As in Section 3, we have a natural quotient map  $\pi : S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau} \rightarrow M$  with  $N = \text{Ker } \pi$ . This subsection explains the structure of graded modules  $M$ ,  $N$ , and  $M^* \simeq N^{\perp}$  by interpreting the results of Hotta-Parthasarathy in [9].

For this, we first decompose the tensor product  $\mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau}$  as

$$\mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau} = V_{\tau}^+ \oplus V_{\tau}^- \quad \text{as } K_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-modules,}$$

where  $V_{\tau}^{\pm}$  denotes the sum of irreducible  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau}$  with highest weights of the form  $\lambda \pm \beta$  ( $\beta \in \Delta_n^+$ ), respectively. The inclusion  $V_{\tau}^- \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau}$  naturally induces a quotient map of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules:

$$P : \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes V_{\tau}^* = (\mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau})^* \longrightarrow (V_{\tau}^-)^*. \quad (4.2)$$

Hereafter, we replace  $\mathfrak{p}^*$  by  $\mathfrak{p}$  through the identification  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^*$  by the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ .

Let  $B_c$  be the Borel subgroup of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{b}_c = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_c^-} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  is the root subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to a root  $\alpha$ . We set

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\pm} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Delta_n^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\beta}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, we have  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}_-$  as vector spaces, and  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  is stable under the action of  $B_c$ .

If  $U$  is a holomorphic representation of  $B_c$ , the  $i$ -th cohomology space  $H^i(K_{\mathbb{C}}/B_c; U)$  of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}/B_c$  with coefficients in the sheaf of holomorphic sections of the vector bundle  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{B_c} U$  has a structure of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module.

The following theorem can be read off from the proof of [9, Theorem 1] by taking into account the Blattner multiplicity formula [7] for discrete series. (See also [18]; [29].)

**Theorem 4.1.** (1) One has  $N = S(\mathfrak{p})V_{\tau}^-$ .

(2) The orthogonal  $N^{\perp}$  of  $N$  in  $S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$  coincides with the kernel of the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  of gradient-type associated with  $(V_{\tau}^*, (V_{\tau}^-)^*)$  :

$$(\mathcal{D}f)(Y) := P\left(\sum_{i=1}^s X_i \otimes (\partial(X_i)f)(Y)\right) \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{p}), \quad (4.4)$$

for  $f \in S(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes V_{\tau}^*$ . Here  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the Killing form.

(3) For every integer  $n \geq 0$ , the dual  $M_n^*$  of  $M_n$  is isomorphic to the cohomology space:

$$H^q(K_{\mathbb{C}}/B_c; S^n(\mathfrak{p}_-) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda-2\rho_c}) \quad \text{with } q := \dim K_{\mathbb{C}}/B_c,$$

as a  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module. Here  $\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda-2\rho_c}$  denotes the one dimensional  $B_c$ -module corresponding to  $-\lambda - 2\rho_c \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ .

Note that the claim (2) can be deduced from claim (1) by Proposition 3.2.

**Remark 4.1.** The maximal globalization of dual  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}^*$  of discrete series can be realized as the kernel space of an invariant differential operator  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  of gradient type (on the Riemannian symmetric space for  $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)$ ). The operator  $\mathcal{D}$  in the above theorem gives the ‘‘polynomialization’’ of  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ .

### 4.3. Description of associated cycle

We are going to apply Theorem 4.1 in order to describe the associated cycles for  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules of discrete series. For a positive number  $c$ , we say that a linear form  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$  satisfies the condition (FFW( $c$ )) if

$$(\mu, \alpha) \geq c \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta_c^+. \quad (\text{FFW}(c))$$

Theorem 4.1 coupled with the Borel-Weil Bott theorem for the group  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  leads us to the following proposition (cf. [29, Section 6.1]), which is crucial to describe the associated cycle of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** (1) *Let  $v_{\lambda}^*$  be a nonzero lowest weight vector of  $V_{\tau}^*$  of weight  $-\lambda$ . Then,  $N^{\perp} = \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}$  contains the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule  $\langle S(\mathfrak{p}_{-}) \otimes v_{\lambda}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$  generated by  $S(\mathfrak{p}_{-}) \otimes v_{\lambda}^*$ .*

(2) *For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_n$  such that*

$$(N^{\perp})_n = \langle S^n(\mathfrak{p}_{-}) \otimes v_{\lambda}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}} \quad (4.5)$$

*holds if the Blattner parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition (FFW( $c_n$ )).*

Now, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the unique nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}$  which intersects  $\mathfrak{p}_{-}$  densely. Then one sees that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{p}_{-}$ . As before, we write  $I$  for the prime ideal of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  defining  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . It follows from the claim (1) in Proposition 4.1 that  $\text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M \subset I$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . Also, the same claim shows  $\mathfrak{p}_{-} \otimes v_{\lambda}^* \in \text{Ker } P$ , which can be easily verified by noting that  $-\lambda - \beta$  ( $\beta \in \Delta_n^+$ ) cannot be a weight of  $(V_{\tau}^{-})^*$ .

Take an element  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{-}$ . By Proposition 3.3, we find that the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{W}(0)^* = (M/\mathfrak{m}(X)M)^* \subset V_{\tau}^*$  consists exactly of all the vectors  $v^* \in V_{\tau}^*$  satisfying  $P(X \otimes v^*) = 0$ . Let  $N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_{-})$  be the totality of elements  $k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $\text{Ad}(k)X \in \mathfrak{p}_{-}$  (cf. [3]). For any subset  $R$  of  $N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_{-})$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R)$  the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule of  $V_{\tau}^*$  generated by  $R^{-1} \cdot v_{\lambda}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) := \langle R^{-1} \cdot v_{\lambda}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}.$$

Then, we readily find from  $\mathfrak{p}_{-} \otimes v_{\lambda}^* \in \text{Ker } P$  that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) \subset \mathcal{W}(0)^*, \quad \text{and so } \langle X^n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}} \subset \Psi_n \subset (N^{\perp})_n, \quad (4.6)$$

for every  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover one gets the equality

$$\langle X^n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}} = \langle S^n(\mathfrak{p}_{-}) \otimes v_{\lambda}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad (4.7)$$

if  $\text{Ad}(R)X \subset \mathfrak{p}_{-}$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{p}_{-}$ . This is true when  $R$  equals the whole  $N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_{-})$ , because  $\text{Ad}(N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_{-}))X = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{-}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{p}_{-}$ .

As in Section 3, we take homogeneous generators  $D_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) of the ideal  $I$  such that  $\deg D_i = n(i)$ . We set  $c(I) := \max_i(c_{n(i)})$ . By virtue of Proposition 3.1 together with (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we come to the following conclusion.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that the Blattner parameter  $\lambda$  of discrete series  $\mathbf{X}$  is far from the walls in the sense of [26, I, Definition 1.7] and that it also satisfies the condition (FFW( $c$ )) with  $c = c(I)$ .*

(1) *One gets  $I = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$  and so  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{p}_- = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . Moreover, the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -module  $\mathcal{W}^*$  contragredient to the isotropy representation  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{W})$  is described as*

$$\mathcal{W}^* = \mathcal{W}(0)^* = \{v^* \in V_{\tau}^* \mid P(X \otimes v^*) = 0\}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$ , and  $P : \mathfrak{p} \otimes V_{\tau}^* \rightarrow (V_{\tau}^-)^*$  is the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -homomorphism in (4.2).

(2) *Let  $R$  be a subset of  $N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_-)$  such that  $\text{Ad}(R)X$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ . Then, the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) = \langle R^{-1} \cdot v_{\lambda}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)} \subset \mathcal{W}^*$  is exhaustive in the following sense: for every integer  $n \geq 0$ , one has*

$$\langle X^n \otimes \mathcal{W}^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}} = \langle X^n \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(R) \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}} \quad (4.9)$$

if  $\lambda$  satisfies FFW( $c_n$ ), where  $c_n$  is the positive constant in Proposition 4.1.

**Remark 4.2.** (1) The assertions  $I = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} M$  and  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{p}_-$  have been obtained in [29]. But, in that paper, we did not discuss the possibility of applying the results to describe the isotropy representation.

(2) One should get a result similar to Theorem 4.2, more generally for the derived functor modules  $\mathcal{A}_q(\lambda)$ .

(3) Compare Theorem 4.2 (1) with Chang's result [3, Proposition 1.4] established by means of the localization theory of Harish-Chandra modules.

#### 4.4. Submodule $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(Q_c)$

In this subsection, we give a natural choice of  $R \subset N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_-)$  for which we expect to have the property (4.9). Let  $\Pi$  be the set of simple roots in  $\Delta^+$ . We write  $S = \Pi \cap \Delta_c$  for the totality of compact simple roots. Then, there exists a unique element  $H_S \in \mathfrak{t}$  such that

$$\alpha(H_S) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in S, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi \setminus S. \end{cases}$$

The adjoint action of  $H_S$  yields a gradation on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{g}(j) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{g}(j) := \{Z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\text{ad } H_S)Z = jZ\}.$$

Here  $j$  runs through the integers such that  $|j| \leq \delta(H_S)$  with the highest root  $\delta$ . Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{j:\text{even}} \mathfrak{g}(j), \quad \mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{j:\text{odd}} \mathfrak{g}(j) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{j>0,\text{odd}} \mathfrak{g}(\pm j).$$

Now, we set

$$\mathfrak{q} := \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} \mathfrak{g}(j), \quad \mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{g}(0) \subset \mathfrak{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u} := \bigoplus_{j < 0} \mathfrak{g}(j).$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  gives the Levi decomposition of the standard parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated with the subset  $S$  of  $\Pi$ . We write  $Q$  (resp.  $Q_c$ ) for the parabolic subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{C}} := G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  (resp. of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{q}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ ). The group  $Q$  (resp.  $Q_c$ ) admits the Levi decomposition  $Q = LU$  (resp.  $Q_c = L_c U_c$ ), where  $L$  and  $U$  (resp.  $L_c$  and  $U_c$ ) are the connected subgroups of  $Q$  (resp.  $Q_c$ ) with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ ) respectively. Note that  $\text{Ad}(L_c) = L$ . The parabolic subgroup  $Q$  acts on its nilradical  $\mathfrak{u}$ , and so  $Q_c$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}_- = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{u}$  by the adjoint action. Thus,  $Q_c$  is contained in  $N_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(X, \mathfrak{p}_-)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_-$ , and the corresponding  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(Q_c)$  of  $V_{\tau}^*$  turns to be

$$\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(Q_c) = \langle (V_{\lambda}^{L_c})^* \rangle_{K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}. \quad (4.10)$$

Here,  $(V_{\lambda}^{L_c})^* = U(\mathfrak{l})v_{\lambda}^*$  denotes the irreducible  $L_c$ -submodule of  $V_{\tau}^*$  generated by the lowest weight vector  $v_{\lambda}^*$ .

We can now apply Theorem 4.2 to deduce

**Corollary 4.1.** *Under the assumption in Theorem 4.2, the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ -submodule  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}(Q_c)$  of  $\mathcal{W}^*$  is exhaustive in the sense of (4.9), if  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  is a prehomogeneous vector space under the adjoint action of the group  $Q_c$ , and if  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  lies in the open  $Q_c$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ .*

#### 4.5. Relation to the Richardson orbit

We end this article by looking at the condition for  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  in Corollary 4.1, and also some related conditions, in relation to the Richardson  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit associated with the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

First, let us recall some basic facts on the Richardson orbit (cf. [12, Chapter 5]). The  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stable subset  $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathfrak{u} (\subset \mathfrak{g})$  forms an irreducible affine

variety of  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose dimension is equal to  $2 \dim \mathfrak{u}$ . Noting that  $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathfrak{u}$  consists of nilpotent elements only, there exists a unique  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  such that

$$\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} = G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathfrak{u},$$

by the finiteness of the number of nilpotent  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is called the Richardson  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit associated with  $\mathfrak{q}$ . The parabolic subgroup  $Q$  acts on  $\mathfrak{u}$  prehomogeneously, and  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{u}$  turns to be a single  $Q$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{u}$ . Moreover, the centralizer in  $\mathfrak{g}$  of any element  $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{u}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in Section 4.3. Then we have two nilpotent  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits  $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  with the closure relation  $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}$ . By virtue of a result of Kostant-Rallis [15, Proposition 5], this relation implies that

$$\dim \mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{2} \dim G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \dim \mathfrak{u}. \quad (4.11)$$

In particular, we find that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{X}) = \dim \mathcal{O}$  of discrete series  $\mathbf{X}$  cannot exceed  $\dim \mathfrak{u}$ . The following proposition tells us when these two orbits turn to be equal.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The following three conditions (a), (b) and (c) on the positive system  $\Delta^+ = \{\alpha \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) > 0\}$  are equivalent with each other:*

$$(a) \ G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \quad (b) \ \dim \mathcal{O} = \dim \mathfrak{u}, \quad (c) \ \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_- \neq \emptyset.$$

*In this case,  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  is a single open  $Q_c$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ , and so one gets the conclusion of Corollary 4.1.*

**Proof.** The equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) is a direct consequence of (4.11). The condition (a) immediately implies (c), since  $\mathcal{O} \subset G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  contains an element of  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ . Conversely, if  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_- \neq \emptyset$ , this is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ , since  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_- = (\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{u}) \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{u}$  open in  $\mathfrak{u}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  intersects  $\mathcal{O}$ . We thus get (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). This proves the equivalence of three conditions in question.

Next, we assume the condition (b) ( $\Leftrightarrow$  (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)), and let  $X$  be any element of  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$ . We write  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)$  for the centralizer of  $X$  in a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By noting that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \subset \mathfrak{q}$ , the dimension of the  $Q_c$ -orbit  $\text{Ad}(Q_c)X$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ad}(Q_c)X &= \dim \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} - \dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(X) \\ &= (\dim \mathfrak{k} - \dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}) - \dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(X) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{O} - \dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \dim \mathfrak{u} - \dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \dim \mathfrak{p}_-, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the condition (b) for the fourth equality. This shows that the orbit  $\text{Ad}(Q_c)X$  is open in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$ . We thus find that  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  forms a single  $Q_c$ -orbit, because of the uniqueness of the open  $Q_c$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** Each of the conditions (a), (b) and (c) in Proposition 4.2 is equivalent to Assumption 2.5 in [2] concerning the generic finiteness of the moment map defined on the conormal bundle  $T_{Z_1}^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}/Q)$ , where  $Z_1$  is a closed  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $G_{\mathbb{C}}/Q$  through the origin  $eQ$ .

Suggested by Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, let us consider the following three conditions on  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  which depends on the choice of a positive system  $\Delta^+$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_- \neq \emptyset \ (\Leftrightarrow \dim \mathcal{O} = \dim \mathfrak{u} \Leftrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}), \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_- \text{ is a single } Q_c\text{-orbit}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_- \text{ is a prehomogeneous vector space under } \text{Ad}(Q_c). \quad (\text{C3})$$

Proposition 4.2 says (C1)  $\Rightarrow$  (C2), and the implication (C2)  $\Rightarrow$  (C3) is obvious.

As for the conditions (C2) and (C3), we can show the following

**Proposition 4.3.** *One gets (C3) if  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(-1) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, the equality  $\text{Ad}(Q_c)(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(-1)) = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$  assures (C2).*

**Proof.** Let  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(-1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O} = \text{Ad}(K_{\mathbb{C}})X$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ , we find that  $[\mathfrak{k}, X] \supset \mathfrak{p}_-$ . We set  $\mathfrak{k}_+ := \bigoplus_{j>0} \mathfrak{g}(2j)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_+$  is a direct sum of vector spaces. Then it follows from the assumption  $X \in \mathfrak{g}(-1)$  that  $[\mathfrak{k}_+, X] \subset \mathfrak{p}_+$  and  $[\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}, X] \subset \mathfrak{p}_-$ . We thus obtain

$$\mathfrak{p}_- = [\mathfrak{k}, X] \cap \mathfrak{p}_- = [\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}, X].$$

Hence  $\text{Ad}(Q_c)X$  is open in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ , and one gets (C3).

The above argument shows that any element  $X \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{g}(-1)$  lies in the unique open  $Q_c$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ . This proves the latter claim, too.  $\square$

Following Gross-Wallach [5], we say that a discrete series  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  is *small* if  $\delta(H_S) \leq 2$ , or equivalently,  $\mathfrak{g}(j) = \{0\}$  if  $|j| \geq 3$ . Here  $\delta$  is the highest root of  $\Delta^+$  as before. In this case, one has  $\mathfrak{p}_- = \mathfrak{g}(-1)$ , and so the above proposition implies



**Corollary 4.2.** *The subspace  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  corresponding to a small discrete series admits the property (C2).*

**Remark 4.4.** By case-by-case analysis, Chang [3] proved the property (C2) for any discrete series representations of simple Lie groups of  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank one.

#### 4.6. Condition (C1) for $SU(p, q)$

It should be important to study when  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  admits the properties (C1), (C2) and (C3), respectively. Toward this direction, we end this paper by giving an explicit, combinatorial criterion for the condition (C1) in case of  $G = SU(p, q)$  with  $n = p + q$ . In this case, we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , and let

$$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n$$

be the simple roots for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$  by the standard notation of Bourbaki. Then  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ 's in question are in one-one correspondence to the set of noncompact positive roots  $\{\alpha_{n_1}, \alpha_{n_1+n_2}, \dots, \alpha_{n_1+\dots+n_{t-1}}\}$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_{t-1}$ , and  $n_t := n - (n_1 + \dots + n_{t-1})$  are positive integers such that

$$\sum_{j:\text{odd}} n_j = p \text{ or } q.$$

**Theorem 4.3.**  *$\mathfrak{p}_-$  satisfies the condition (C1) if and only if the corresponding partition  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$  of  $n$  is unimodal, that is, there exists a positive integer  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq t$ ) such that*

$$n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_{k-1} \leq n_k \geq n_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq n_t.$$

If  $p, q \leq 3$ , every partition  $(n_1, \dots, n_t)$  is unimodal, and we always get the property (C1). On the contrary, the partition  $(2, 1, 2)$  for  $SU(4, 1)$  is not unimodal, and (C1) fails in this case, where  $\dim \mathcal{O} = 7 < 8 = \dim \mathfrak{u}$ .

We will discuss the detail elsewhere.

#### Acknowledgments

The author thanks Hiroyuki Ochiai for stimulating discussion.

#### References

1. W. Borho and J.-L. Brylinski, Differential operators on homogeneous spaces. III: Characteristic varieties of Harish-Chandra modules and of primitive ideals, *Invent. Math.*, **80** (1986), 1–68.

2. J.-T. Chang, Characteristic cycles of holomorphic discrete series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **334** (1992), 213–227.
3. J.-T. Chang, Characteristic cycles of discrete series for  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank one groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **341** (1994), 603–622.
4. A. Dvorsky and S. Sahi, Tensor products of singular representations and an extension of the  $\theta$ -correspondence, *Selecta Math., New Series* **4** (1998), 11–29.
5. B. Gross and N.R. Wallach, Restriction of small discrete series representations to symmetric subgroups, *in: The mathematical legacy of Harish-Chandra* (Baltimore, MD, 1998), 255–272, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **68**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
6. A. Gyoja and H. Yamashita, Associated variety, Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence, and locally free  $U(\mathfrak{n})$ -action on Harish-Chandra modules, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **51** (1999), 129–149.
7. H. Hecht and W. Schmid, A proof of Blattner’s conjecture, *Invent. Math.* **31** (1975), 129–154.
8. T. Hirai and H. Yamashita, Hyougenron nyūmon seminar (Introductory Seminar on Representation Theory), in Japanese, Yūseisha, Tokyo, 2003, pp. i–xi and 1–329, ISBN4-7952-6898-3.
9. R. Hotta and R. Parthasarathy, Multiplicity formulae for discrete series, *Invent. Math.* **26** (1974), 133–178.
10. R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **313** (1989), no. 2, 539–570.
11. R. Howe, Transcending classical invariant theory, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **2** (1989), no. 3, 535–552.
12. J.-E. Humphreys, Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **43**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
13. S. Kato, On the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension and Bernstein degree for unitary highest weight modules (in Japanese), Master Thesis, Kyushu University, 2000, 77 pages.
14. S. Kato and H. Ochiai, The degrees of orbits of the multiplicity-free actions, *in: “Nilpotent orbits, associated cycles and Whittaker models for highest weight representations”*, *Astérisque*, **273** (2001), 139–158.
15. B. Kostant and S. Rallis, Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **83** (1971), 753–809.
16. K. Nishiyama, H. Ochiai and K. Taniguchi, Bernstein degree and associated cycles of Harish-Chandra modules – Hermitian symmetric case, *in: “Nilpotent orbits, associated cycles and Whittaker models for highest weight representations”*, *Astérisque*, **273** (2001), 13–80.
17. H. Rubenthaler, A new proof of the Borel-de Siebenthal theorem, the classification of equi-rank groups, and some compact and semi-compact dual pairs, to appear in *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **33** (2004), 185–205.
18. W. Schmid, Some properties of square-integrable representations of semisimple Lie groups, *Ann. of Math.*, **102** (1975), 535–564.
19. K. Taniguchi, Discrete series Whittaker functions of  $SU(n, 1)$  and  $Spin(2n, 1)$ ,

- J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **3** (1996), no. 2, 331–377.
20. K. Taniguchi, On discrete series Whittaker functions  $SO_0(2n, 2)$  (Japanese), *in*: “Automorphic forms on  $Sp(2; R)$  and  $SU(2, 2)$ , II (Kyoto, 1998)”, RIMS Kōkyūroku, **1094** (1999), 11–27.
  21. D.A. Vogan, Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules, *Invent. Math.* **48** (1978), 75–98.
  22. D.A. Vogan, Associated varieties and unipotent representations, *in*: “Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups (W.Barker and P.Sally eds.)”, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. **101**, Birkhäuser, 1991, 315–388.
  23. D. A. Vogan, The method of coadjoint orbits for real reductive groups, *in*: “Representation Theory of Lie Groups (eds. J. Adams and D. Vogan)”, 177–238, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series **8**, AMS, 2000.
  24. A. Wachi and H. Yamashita, Isotropy representations for singular unitary highest weight modules (tentative), in preparation.
  25. H. Yamashita, Finite multiplicity theorems for induced representations of semisimple Lie groups II: Applications to generalized Gelfand-Graev representations, *J. Math. Kyoto. Univ.*, **28** (1988), 383–444.
  26. H. Yamashita, Embeddings of discrete series into induced representations of semisimple Lie groups, I: General theory and the case of  $SU(2, 2)$ , *Japan. J. Math.*, **16** (1990), 31–95; II: generalized Whittaker models for  $SU(2, 2)$ , *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **31** (1991), 543–571.
  27. H. Yamashita, Criteria for the finiteness of restriction of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules to subalgebras and applications to Harish-Chandra modules: a study in relation to the associated varieties, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **121** (1994), 296–329.
  28. H. Yamashita, Associated varieties and Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions for the discrete series of a semisimple Lie group, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **70A** (1994), 50–55.
  29. H. Yamashita, Description of the associated varieties for the discrete series representations of a semisimple Lie group: An elementary proof by means of differential operators of gradient type, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, **47** (1998), 35–52.
  30. H. Yamashita, Cayley transform and generalized Whittaker models for irreducible highest weight modules, *in*: “Nilpotent orbits, associated cycles and Whittaker models for highest weight representations”, *Astérisque*, **273** (2001), 81–137.
  31. H. Yamashita, Associated cycles of Harish-Chandra modules and differential operators of gradient type, *RIMS Kōkyūroku*, **1183** (2001), 157–167.
  32. H. Yamashita, Isotropy representations attached to the associated cycles of Harish-Chandra modules, *RIMS Kōkyūroku*, **1238** (2001), 233–247.
  33. H. Yamashita, Isotropy representation and projection to the PRV-component, *RIMS Kōkyūroku*, **1294** (2002), 62–71.
  34. T. Yoshinaga and H. Yamashita, The embeddings of discrete series into principal series for an exceptional real simple Lie group of type  $G_2$ , *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **36** (1996), 557–595.