

**Complexity of nilpotent  
orbits and  
the Kostant-Sekiguchi  
correspondence**

Math.RT/0303096

**Donald R. King**

Mathematics Department

Northeastern University

Boston, MA 02115

**NORTH 6, 2004, Fuji-Zakura So, Japan**

## Outline of Talk

1. Notation, basic facts and definitions
2. Statement of Main Theorem
3.  $K$ -structure of nilpotent orbits (Schmid and Vilonen)
4. Proof of Main Theorem
5. Commutativity of a related Poisson algebra
6. An Application to the  $G$ -saturation of the nilradical of a parabolic subalgebra

## 1. Notation

$\mathfrak{g}$  real simple Lie algebra, Cartan involution  $\theta$ .

Cartan decomposition:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ .

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

$\sigma$  is conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$G_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

$G$ ,  $K$ ,  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  conn. subgps corr. to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

$\Omega$  a nilpotent  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\mathcal{O}$  a nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

## Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence

**Definition.** (*orbit “cores”*)

$$C(\Omega) :=$$

$$\{E \in \Omega \mid \exists \text{ } sl(2)\text{-triple } \{H, E, F\} \subset \mathfrak{g}, \theta(E) = -F\}.$$

$$C(\mathcal{O}) :=$$

$$\{e \in \mathcal{O} \mid \exists \text{ normal } sl(2)\text{-triple } \{x, e, f\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \sigma(e) = f\}.$$

$C(\Omega)$  (resp.,  $C(\mathcal{O})$ ) is a single  $K$  orbit.

The correspondence:

$$\Omega \supset C(\Omega) \ni E \mapsto K_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \frac{H - i(E + F)}{2} := \mathcal{O}_{\Omega}$$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\Omega})$  is said to be a KS-pair.

## Properties of Kostant-Sekiguchi pairs

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$  be a KS-pair.

(1)  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \Omega = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{O}$ .

(2)  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in the same  $G_{\mathbf{C}}$  orbit,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ .

(3)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ .

(4) (Vergne)  $\exists K$ -equivariant real analytic isomorphism,  $\mathcal{V} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ .

(5) (Barbasch and Sepanski)

$$\Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega_2} \iff \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_2}$$

**How does the correspondence affect other invariants of  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  that are significant in representation theory?**

## The Big Picture

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{O}$$

symplectic manifold  
Hamiltonian  $K$ -space

quasi-affine variety  
algebraic  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -action

### Invariants



$K$ -rank

$K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -rank

$K$ -corank

$K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -complexity

## Notions of rank

rank of  $K$ -action on  $\Omega$ ,  $r_K(\Omega) := \text{rank } K - \text{rank } K^{E'}$   
where  $E' \in \Omega$  and the orbit  $K \cdot E'$  is generic.

$R[\mathcal{O}]$  denotes the ring of regular functions on  $\mathcal{O}$ . If  $\lambda \in \widehat{K}$ ,  $R[\mathcal{O}]_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$ -isotypic component.  $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\lambda)$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in  $R[\mathcal{O}]$ .

$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) := \{\lambda \in \widehat{K} \mid R[\mathcal{O}]_\lambda \neq (0)\}$  is a finitely generated semigroup in the set of dominant weights of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The rank of the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  action on  $\mathcal{O}$  is the rank of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ . It is denoted  $r_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$ .



## The corank of the $K$ action on $\Omega$

Let  $w_\Omega$  be the canonical symp. form on  $\Omega$  and  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$  denote the moment map.

**Lemma.** *Let  $E' \in \Omega$ . Set  $W = T_{E'}(K \cdot E')$  and  $W^\perp$  equal to the orthogonal complement (with respect to  $w_\Omega|_{E'}$ ) of  $W$  inside  $T_{E'}(\Omega)$ . Then  $T_{E'}(\Omega)$  is a sum of symplectic vector spaces:*

$$\frac{W}{W \cap W^\perp} \oplus \left( (W \cap W^\perp) \oplus (W \cap W^\perp)^* \right) \oplus \frac{W^\perp}{W \cap W^\perp}.$$

where,

(1)  $\mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')}/\mathfrak{k}^{E'} \simeq W \cap W^\perp$  (as  $\mathfrak{k}^{E'}$  modules) and

(2)  $\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} \simeq \frac{W}{W \cap W^\perp}$  (as  $\mathfrak{k}^{E'}$  modules).

**Proposition.** (Vinberg)

$\exists$  open dense subset  $U \subset \Omega$  such that for all  $E' \in U$ , the non-negative integer

$$\dim \frac{T_{E'}(K \cdot E')^\perp}{T_{E'}(K \cdot E') \cap T_{E'}(K \cdot E')^\perp},$$

has a constant value. We denote this value by  $2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$ . The integer  $2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$  is said to be the corank of the action of  $K$  on  $\Omega$ .

**Remark.** If  $\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega) = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  is said to be multiplicity free as a Hamiltonian  $K$ -space (that is,  $T_{E'}(K \cdot E')$  is coisotropic in  $T_{E'}(\Omega)$  for a dense open subset of elements of  $\Omega$ ).

## Multiplicity-free Hamiltonian $K$ -spaces

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a Hamiltonian  $K$ -space;  $C^\infty(\mathbf{X})^K$  be the Poisson algebra of  $K$ -invariant smooth functions on  $\mathbf{X}$ ; and  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{X})_{ra}^K$  be the Poisson algebra of  $K$ -invariant real analytic functions on  $\mathbf{X}$ .

Guillemin and Sternberg have shown:

$\mathbf{X}$  is mult. free  $\iff C^\infty(\mathbf{X})^K$  is comm.

$\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$  “should” measure the failure of  $C^\infty(\Omega)^K$  to be commutative. We can show that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$  is a “rough” measure of the failure of  $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$  to be commutative.

**Definition.** Let  $d = d_\Omega$  be the maximum dimension of a  $K$ -orbit in  $\Omega$  and  $d_\Phi$  denote the maximum dimension of a  $K$ -orbit in  $\Phi(\Omega)$ .

$$\Omega_d = \{E' \in \Omega \mid \dim K \cdot E' = d\}$$

$$\Omega_\Phi = \{E' \in \Omega \mid \dim K \cdot \Phi(E') = d_\Phi\}$$

$$= \{E' \in \Omega \mid \dim \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} \text{ is minimum}\}.$$

**Remark.** The subset  $U$  in the proposition on slide 9 can be chosen so that  $U \subset \Omega_d \cap \Omega_\Phi$ .

## The complexity of the $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ action on $\mathcal{O}$

**Definition.** If  $B_{\mathbb{C}} \subset K_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a Borel subgroup, then the  $c_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$ , the complexity of the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  action, is the codimension of a generic  $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is also the transcendence degree over  $\mathbb{C}$  of the field of  $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant rational functions on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Proposition.** (Panyushev)  $c = c_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$  is the smallest non-negative integer such that for all  $\lambda \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ :

$m_{\mathcal{O}}(n\lambda)$  grows no faster than  $n^c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

## Spherical nilpotent orbits

**Definition.** *If  $c_{K_C}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  is said to be spherical (for  $K_C$ ).*

(McGovern and Panyushev) Classification of spherical orbits for  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple and complex.

(King, 2003) Classification of spherical orbits for  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple and real.

## 2. Main Theorem

**Theorem.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$  be a Kostant-Sekiguchi pair, then*

$$(a) \ r_{K_C}(\mathcal{O}) = r_K(\Omega);$$

$$(b) \ c_{K_C}(\mathcal{O}) = \tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega).$$

**Corollary.** *(King, TAMS (2002))*

*$\Omega$  is multiplicity free  $\iff \mathcal{O}$  is spherical.*

**Example.** *When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, the minimal non-zero  $\Omega$  is multiplicity free, and  $\mathcal{O}_\Omega$  is spherical.*

## Motivation

1. The previous corollary.

2. I. V. Mykytyuk's result:

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_G(T^*(G/K)) = c_{G_C}(G_C/K_C),$$

where  $K$  and  $G$  are compact groups,  $K \subset G$ , and  $K_C$  and  $G_C$  are their complexifications.

*Actions of Borel Subgroups on Homogeneous Spaces of Reductive Complex Lie Groups and Integrability*, preprint, 2001.



## Ingredients in the proof of main theorem

1. The Vergne real analytic isomorphism
2. Results of Schmid and Vilonen on the  $K$  structure of  $\mathcal{O}$
3. Results of Panyushev on rank and complexity of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  actions
4. Results of A. T. Huckleberry and T. Wurzbacher on  $K$  actions on symplectic manifolds

### 3. The $K$ structure of $\mathcal{O}$

Let  $E \in C(\Omega)$ ,  $e \in C(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\{H, E, F\} \leftrightarrow \{x, e, f\}$ .  
Set  $\mathfrak{s} := \mathbf{R}H + \mathbf{R}E + \mathbf{R}F$ .

**Proposition.** (*Schmid-Vilonen in “Geometry of nilpotent orbits”*)

*If  $V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{s}) = [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}}, e]/[\mathfrak{k}, e]$ , then  $\exists$   $K$ -invariant real analytic isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{O} \simeq K \times_{K^{\mathfrak{s}}} V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq T_{C(\mathcal{O})}^*(\mathcal{O}).$$

In addition, there is an isomorphism of  $K^{\mathfrak{s}}$  modules over  $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$V_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq \mathfrak{k}^x / \mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{s}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}.$$

## 4. Proof of Main Theorem

The spaces  $\mathfrak{k}^x/\mathfrak{k}^5$  and  $Z$  appear in results of Panyushev on the rank and complexity of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Thus one can compute  $n_{\mathcal{O}} = n_{\mathcal{O}, K}$ , the real codimension of the largest  $K$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{O}$ , in terms of the rank and complexity, i.e., on the one hand,

$$n_{\mathcal{O}} = r_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O}) + 2c_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O}). \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Combine results of Schmid-Vilonen with those of Panyushev. □

## Another formula for $n_{\mathcal{O}}$

Clearly,  $n_{\mathcal{O}} = n_{\Omega}$ . Recall the vector space dec. of  $T_{E'}(\Omega)$  in terms of  $W = T_{E'}(K \cdot E')$ :

$$\frac{W}{W \cap W^{\perp}} \oplus \left( (W \cap W^{\perp}) \oplus (W \cap W^{\perp})^* \right) \oplus \frac{W^{\perp}}{W \cap W^{\perp}}$$

where,

(1)  $\mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')}/\mathfrak{k}^{E'} \simeq W \cap W^{\perp}$  (as  $\mathfrak{k}^{E'}$  modules) and

(2)  $\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} \simeq \frac{W}{W \cap W^{\perp}}$  (as  $\mathfrak{k}^{E'}$  modules).

$$\dim \Omega = \dim T_{E'}(\Omega)$$

$$= 2 \dim \left( \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} / \mathfrak{k}^{E'} \right) + \dim \left( \mathfrak{k} / \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} \right) + \dim \frac{W^\perp}{W \cap W^\perp}$$

$$= \dim \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} - \dim \mathfrak{k}^{E'} + \dim \mathfrak{k} - \dim \mathfrak{k}^{E'} + \dim \frac{W^\perp}{W \cap W^\perp}$$

One shows that for a dense open subset  $\tilde{U} \subset \Omega$ ,  
 $E' \in \tilde{U}$  implies:

$$\dim \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} - \dim \mathfrak{k}^{E'} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^{\Phi(E')} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^{E'} = r_K(\Omega)$$

and

$$\dim \frac{W^\perp}{W \cap W^\perp} = 2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega).$$

The preceding facts depend on work of Huck-  
leberry and Wurzbacher.

One concludes that

$$n_{\mathcal{O}} = r_K(\Omega) + 2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega). \quad (2)$$

Once it is shown that  $r_K(\Omega) = r_{K_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$ , it fol-  
lows from (1) and (2) that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega) = c_{K_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## 5. Commutativity of $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$

**Definition.** Suppose  $M$  is a smooth manifold and  $p \in M$ .  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty(M)$  are **functionally independent** at  $p$  if  $df_1, \dots, df_n$  are linearly independent at  $p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{Z} = Z\left(\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K\right)$  denote the center of the Poisson algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\alpha_K(\Omega)$  be the largest non negative integer  $\alpha$  such that  $\exists$  dense open set  $U^b \subset \Omega$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$  such that:

(1)  $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha$  are f.i. at each  $p$  in  $U^b$  and

(2) no nontrivial linear combination of the  $f_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{Z}$ .

**Remark.**  $\alpha = \alpha_K(\Omega)$  is well defined since the intersection of any two dense open sets is open and dense. Clearly  $\alpha \leq \dim \Omega$ .

$\alpha_K(\Omega)$  is a measure of the size of  $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$  relative to its center. In fact,  $\alpha_K(\Omega) = 0 \iff \Omega$  is multiplicity free.

$\alpha_K(\Omega)$  is related to  $\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$  because:

**Proposition.** Let  $n = n_{\{\Omega, K\}}$  be the codimension of a  $K$ -orbit of maximal dimension in  $\Omega$ .  $\exists$  open dense subset  $U^\sharp \subset \Omega$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)_{ra}^K$  which are f.i. on  $U^\sharp$ .



## More on the Poisson algebra $C^\infty(\Omega)^K$

**Definition.**  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  is **collective** if  $f = g \circ \Phi$  for some  $g \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ .  $\mathfrak{B}$  denotes the space of collective functions.

For  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $X_f$  denotes the Hamiltonian vector field associated to  $f$  by  $w_\Omega$ . That is, for all smooth vector fields  $Y$  on  $\Omega$ :

$$df(Y) = w_\Omega(Y, X_f).$$

$C^\infty(\Omega)$  is a Poisson algebra under the Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  defined as follows. For  $f, g \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ,

$$\{f, g\} = w_\Omega(X_f, X_g).$$

Since  $C^\infty(\Omega)^K$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ , the proposition on slide 23 implies:

**Lemma.** *For  $E'$  in a certain dense open subset of  $\Omega$  and  $W = T_{E'}(K \cdot E')$  :*

(a)  *$W$  is spanned by:  $\{X_f(E') | f \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ .*

(b)  *$W^\perp$  is spanned by:  $\{X_f(E') | f \in \mathfrak{A}_{ra}^K\}$ .*

(c) *If  $M_{E'}$  denotes a complement of  $W \cap W^\perp$  in  $W^\perp$ , then  $M_{E'}$  is spanned by:*

$$\{X_f(E') | f \in \mathfrak{A}_{ra}^k, f \notin \mathfrak{B}\}.$$

Unfortunately, we only have:

$$\alpha_K(\Omega) \geq 2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega).$$

However,

**Theorem.** *If  $s = 2\tilde{\epsilon}_K(\Omega)$ ,  $\exists$  open dense subset  $U^*$  of  $\Omega$ , s.t. for some  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathfrak{A}_{ra}^K$ :*

(1)  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  are f.i. on  $U^*$ ;

(2) no nontrivial linear combination of the  $f_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{J}$ ; and

(3)  $\forall p \in U^*$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  are f.i. of  $\mathfrak{B}$  at  $p$ .

$s$  is the largest integer for which  $\exists s$  functions  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \mathfrak{A}_{ra}^K$  and an open dense subset  $U$  satisfying (1), (2) and (3).

Finally, we obtain:

**Corollary.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$  be a KS-pair, then  $2c_{K_C}(\mathcal{O})$  is the largest integer  $n$  s.t.  $\exists f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathfrak{A}_{ra}^K$  satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of the previous theorem (on slide 26).*

Thus, in a weak sense, the integer  $c_{K_C}(\mathcal{O})$  measures the failure of the Poisson algebra of  $K$ -invariant real analytic functions on  $\Omega$  to be commutative.

## 6. An application to the $G$ -saturation of the nilradical of a par. sub. of $\mathfrak{g}$

**Proposition.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$  be a KS-pair. Suppose that  $P = MAN \subset G$  (with  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ ) s.t.  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $G \cdot \mathfrak{n}$ . Then*

(a)  $c_{K_C}(\mathcal{O}) = c_{K_C}(K_C/M_C)$  and

(b)  $r_{K_C}(\mathcal{O}) = r_{K_C}(K_C/M_C)$ .

**Remark.** *The integer  $c_{K_C}(K_C/M_C)$  can be calculated by a result of Heckman.*

**Example.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . *For each  $\Omega$  there is a  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\Omega$  is open in  $G \cdot \mathfrak{n}$ . Therefore, the complexity and rank of the corresponding  $K_C$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  can be computed.*

## Some related open questions

1. For general  $\mathcal{O}$ , determine  $r_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $c_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O})$ .

2. Find a set of generators for  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ . (For  $\mathfrak{g}$  simple and complex, these generators have been found by McGovern.) The orbit method suggests that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \subset \sqrt{-1}\Phi(\Omega) \cap \text{positive Weyl chamber}$$

3. Determine  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ , and  $\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^n)$ .