

Notations:

- G : complex s.s. algebraic group;
- $H \subset G$: a spherical subgroup (see below);
- $B \subset G$: a Borel subgroup s.t. $BH \subset G$ dense;
- $\mathfrak{B}_H \supset \mathfrak{B}_H(1)$: set of B -orbits in G/H (resp. codim. 1-orbits);
- $T \subset B$: a maximal torus which we *will* fix;
- $R \supset R^+$: root system of (G, T) and +-ve system;
- $X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$;
- $\alpha^\vee \in X_*(T)$ & \mathbb{G}_m^α : coroot corr. to $\alpha \in R$ and its image in T ;
- U_α : one-parameter unipotent subgroup corr. to α ;
- $W := N_G(T)/T$: the Weyl group of G ;
- $P_\alpha \subset G$: min'l parabolic subgroup corr. to $\alpha \in R$.

Distinguished orbits in regular varieties

KATO Syu*

September 7, 2004

*E-mail: syuchan@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

The goal of this talk is to generalize the notion of θ -stable maximal torus from symmetric spaces to much wider class of homogeneous spaces.

Generalized θ -stable maximal torus would help us to

- 1) do harmonic analysis on the coset space;**
- 2) classify some class of homogeneous spaces.**

Generalization:

Symmetric spaces: Spherical G/H

$\theta \in \text{Aut}G$ s.t. $\theta^2 = \text{id}$, $H = G^\theta$

\Downarrow

Regular varieties: Spherical G/H s.t.

$H = N_G^\sharp(H)$

$N_G^\sharp(H) := \{g \in N_G(H); g \text{ fixes } \mathfrak{B}_H(1)\} \subset N_G(H)$

Remark: $\text{Aut}_G G/H = N_G(H)/H$

Definition of “ θ -stable” torus:

Symmetric spaces: maximal torus T s.t.

$$\theta(T) = T$$



Regular varieties: difficult since there is

NO θ !!!

Char'zation of regular varieties (after Luna)

The dense open G -orbit of a G -variety

X s.t.

- Smooth projective with finite number of G -orbits;
- Closed G -orbit is unique;
- $X \setminus (G/H)$ is a union of G -stable ncd.

Examples of regular varieties:

1) \forall adjoint algebraic symmetric spaces;

2) $(SL_{p+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_p(\mathbb{C})) / SL_p(\mathbb{C});$

3) $SL_n(\mathbb{C}) / N_G(GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_{p+q-1}(\mathbb{C}))$ for

$$n = 2p + q;$$

4) $G = Sp(4)^* (\supset Sp(2) \times Sp(2)) \supset Sp(2) \times$

$$O(2) = H.$$

*measured by size

Main ingredients:

- W -action on \mathfrak{B}_H (due to Knop)
- Gen. max'ly split torus (due to Knop)
- Boundary behaviour of orbits (due to Brion)
- Gen. notion of real roots

Richardson-Springer corr.[†] (Kostant etc...)

T : θ -stable max'l torus s.t. $\dim T^\theta$: min.

{ θ -stable max'l torus} / Ad(H)

$$\Leftrightarrow T \setminus \{g \in G; g\theta(g^{-1}) \in N_G(T)\} / H$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_H (= \{B\text{-orbits in } G/H\})$$

$$gTg^{-1} \Leftrightarrow g \bmod (T \times H) \Leftrightarrow Bg[H]$$

is the corr. In part., W acts on \mathfrak{B}_H .

Remark on R-S corr.

The element g is taken as the “Cayley transform.” by the following:

We have $((wg)T(wg)^{-1})^{-\theta} \subset T^{-\theta}$ for $w \in W$. $\forall \theta$ -stable torus \mapsto fixed part of an involution in W and vice versa.

Every involution of a Coxeter group is a product of pairwise commutative reflections (Deodhar).

$\Rightarrow g \mapsto$ a seq. of orth. real roots. \mapsto prod. of simple Cayley trans.

Knop's W -action on \mathcal{B}_H^\dagger

For $Y \in \mathcal{B}_H$, we define $\text{rk}Y = \dim Y / [B, B]$.

$\exists W$ -action \star on \mathcal{B}_H s.t. $\text{rk}Y = \text{rk}(w \star Y)$

for all $w \in W$.

\exists analogue of maximally split θ -stable maximal torus T s.t.

1) $B\dot{w}[H] = w \star (B[H])$ for all

$$N_G(T) \ni \dot{w} \mapsto w \in W.$$

2) $N_H(T)/T$ is maximal & $N_H(T)[H] \subset T[H]$.

Notations (for LST)

- $P := \{g \in G; gB[H] = B[H]\};$
- $P = LU$: Levi dec. s.t. $T \subset L;$
- $W_L := N_L(T)/T, P^- \Leftrightarrow P$: **opposite;**
- x_1 : **unique P^- -fixed point in X .**

Local Structure Theorem[†] (LST due to BLV)

$\exists Z \subset X$: L -stable loc. closed sub. s.t.

- $X_0 := P \times^L Z \hookrightarrow X$: affine open emb.;
- $x_1 \in Z \cong \mathbb{A}^r$ as $L/[L, L]$ -varieties;
- $Gx_1 \cong G/P^-$.

LST = boundary behaviour of dense open B -orbit. For $\forall Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$, we define

$$W(Y) := \{w \in W; \dim X - \dim Y = \ell(w), \overline{B\dot{w}^{-1}Y} = X\},$$

where $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the length func. w.r.t. B . $|W(Y)| \geq 2$ in general.

Define $X_0^w := \dot{w}X_0$, $x_w := \dot{w}x_1$, $X_-^w := X_0^w \cap G/H$, and $\mathcal{O}_w := Bx_w \subset G/P^-$ for each $w \in W$.

Define $\widetilde{W}_H := \{w \in W; (w \star B[H]) = B[H]\};$

Define $U_w := B \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ and $U^w := B^- \cap$

$\dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ **for each** $w \in W$. **For each** $Y \in$

\mathfrak{B}_H , $w \in W(Y)$, and $v \in \widetilde{W}_H$, **there exists**

a TU_w -**equivariant fibration**

$$Y \cap X_0^w = U_w \times (Y \cap TU^w \dot{w}v[H]) \longrightarrow w\mathbb{O}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}_w.$$

$f_{(v,w)}^Y := Y \cap TU^w \dot{w}v[H]$ **(fiber over** $x_w)$.

Projection $(Y \cap TU^w \dot{w}v[H]) \longrightarrow T\dot{w}v[H]$ **is**

Galois.

Elementary modifications

Let $\alpha \in R$: simple & $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$ be s.t.

$$\dim P_\alpha Y > \dim Y.$$

Pair (α, Y) is called:

Type T if $P_\alpha Y$ has 3 B -orbits.

Type N if $P_\alpha Y$ has 2 orbits &

$$(P_\alpha Y)^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \subset Y.$$

Type U if $P_\alpha Y \neq Y$ & not Type T or N.

We can also distinguish them via the stabilizer group of P_α at a point of Y .

Weak order graph

A labeled graph corr. to elm. relations among \mathfrak{B}_H .

Vertex := \mathfrak{B}_H ;

Labels := Simple roots of (G, B)

Edges := $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{B}_H$ are connected by a edge labeled by $\alpha \in R$ if $Y' \subset P_\alpha Y$. The edge is a simple (resp. double) edge if (α, Y) is Type UT (resp. Type N).

Define an order \preceq on \mathfrak{B}_H gen. by $Y \preceq_\alpha Y' \Leftrightarrow 1) \dim Y' = \dim Y + 1$ 2) $Y' \text{ and } Y$ are connected by an edge of the weak order graph with label α .

Reflections of \widetilde{W}_H

T_0 : identity comp. of the stabilizer of T at $[H]$.

Let $s \in \widetilde{W}_H(\subset W)$ be a reflection s.t. $T_0^s \neq T_0$.

(i.e. $s = s_\alpha$ s.t. $\alpha \in R$ & $G_m^\alpha \subset T_0$)

↓ “Reading” from weak order graph

$\dot{s}_\alpha[H] \subset U_\alpha[H]$.

(Gen.) real roots

$\alpha \in R$ is called

psuedo-real root (R_{ps}) if $G_m^\alpha \subset T_0$;

real root (R_{re}) if $s_\alpha \in \widetilde{W}_H$;

spherical real root (R_{re}^H)

if $\alpha \in R_{re}$ & $s_\alpha \in H$;

perpendicular real roots (R_{re}^\perp)

if $\alpha \in R_{re}$ & $s_\alpha \notin H$;

quasi-real root (R_{qu}) if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}R_{re} \cap R$.

$$R_{ps}^* \supset R_{qu} \supset R_{re} = R_{re}^H \oplus R_{re}^\perp$$

*I have no example that $R_{ps} \neq R_{qu}$

Structural result: $\gamma, \delta \in R$ are called **str. orthogonal** if $\gamma \perp \delta$ & $\gamma \pm \delta \notin R$.

Lemma

- $\alpha \in R_{re}^\perp$ is **str. orth.** to $\forall \beta \in R_{re} \setminus \{\pm\alpha\}$;
- \widetilde{W}_H preserves both R_{re}^H and R_{re}^\perp ;
- $\alpha \in R_{ps} \setminus R_{qu}$ is **str. orth.** to $\forall \beta \in R_{qu}$.

Main Result-I

- 1) For each $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$, $(w, v) \in W(Y) \times \widetilde{W}_H$, $\overline{Y \cap \text{f}_{(v,w)}^Y}$ contains a unique min'l dim. T -orbit $\mathfrak{o}_v^Y \subset Y$;
- 2) \mathfrak{o}_v^Y is independent of the choice of $w \in W(Y)$;
- 3) The set \mathfrak{I}_H consisting of all \mathfrak{o}_v^Y is stable under the action of $N_G(T)$;
- 4) \exists W -equivariant surjection $\iota : \mathfrak{I}_H \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_H$;

Main Result-II

5) \exists direct factor $W_H^\perp \subset \widetilde{W}_H$ s.t. $W_H^\perp \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus n}$ and each $t^{-1}(Y)$ admits a transitive W_H^\perp -action;

We call elements of \mathfrak{S}_H distinguished orbits. For each distinguished $Tg[H]$, gTg^{-1} is an analogue of (a H -conj. class of) θ -stable maximal torus.

Remarks

- 1) H -conj. class of “ θ -stable torus”
corr. to a B -orbit is NOT unique in
general (unique in symmetric case by
Richardson-Springer).
- 2) t is an isomorphism in symmetric case.
- 3) W_H^\perp is generated by reflections corr.
to R_{re}^\perp .
- 4) $(T \cap H)$ fixes $w^{-1}o$ for each $o \in \mathfrak{z}_H$ and
 $w \in W(t(o))$.

Explicit relation between f and o

For each $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$, we fix $w \in W(Y)$.

$T_Y :=$ identity comp. of stab. of T on

$o_v^Y (v \in \widetilde{W}_H)$.

$R_Y^w := \{\alpha \in R; \alpha(wT_0w^{-1}) = 1 \& G_m^\alpha \subset T_Y\}$.

$G_Y^w \supset T$: the group corr. to R_Y^w .

Proposition We have

$$f_{(v,w)}^Y \subset G_Y^w o_v^Y$$

for every $v \in \widetilde{W}_H$. If G : simply-laced \Rightarrow

$f_{(v,w)}^Y$ is the open T -orbit in $(G_Y^w \cap B) o_v^Y$.

How to prove Main Theorem-I

Lemma Let $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$. Let $w \in W(Y)$. Let

α be s.t. (α, Y) is of Type U, T, or N.

Then, it is of Type T or N

\Leftrightarrow

$ws_\alpha w^{-1} \in \widetilde{W}_{G/H}$ and $\alpha(T_Y) = 1$ holds.

In conjunction of this lemma, we prove

- 1) $o_v^Y \subset \overline{f_{(v,w)}^Y}$, 2) $o_v^Y \subset G_Y^w \dot{w}v[H]$, and
- 3) $\dot{s}f_{(v,w)}^Y = f_{(v,s_\alpha w)}^{s_\alpha^* Y}$ if (α, Y) is of Type U.

How to prove four assertions-Method

Induction on the weak order.

Difficult part is \Rightarrow in Lemma and 3).

Let $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$ and $w \in W(Y)$ be s.t. (α, Y) is of Type UTN. Let $Y_+ \subset P_\alpha Y$ be open B -orbit. Then

$$f_{(v,w)}^Y \subset U_{\alpha} f_{(v,s_\alpha w)}^{Y_+}$$

holds. (Here we used the assumption that G/H is regular var.)

How to prove four assertions-Observation

Use the component group of $(T \cap H)$,

which determines

$$T_0 \amalg_{\alpha \in R_{re}^H} G_m^\alpha \subset T.$$

uniquely.

$$f_{(v, s_\alpha w)}^{Y_+} \subset (G_{Y_+}^{s_\alpha w} \cap B^-) s_\alpha w v [H]$$

\Downarrow

$w^{-1} f_{(v, s_\alpha w)}^{Y_+}$ admits an action of $(T \cap H) / T_0$.

How to prove four assertions-Idea

Prove explicit relation between f and o

$$f_{(v, s\alpha w)}^{Y+} \subset (G_{Y+}^{s\alpha w} \cap B)_{ov}^{Y+}$$

and compare the min'l dim'l T -orbits and its stabilizer to characterize the position of $f_{(v,w)}^Y$ via Observation.

Ex. If $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$ for some $\alpha \in R_{re}^H$, then $\alpha^\vee(-1) \subset (T \cap H)/T_0$ acts on $(U_\alpha \setminus 1)T[H]$ freely!

How to prove Main Theorem-II

Proposition

For each $Y \in \mathfrak{B}_H$, we define $R_Y := \{\alpha \in R; \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha \subset T_Y\}$. Let $G_Y \supset T$ be the group corr. to R_Y .

Then, \mathfrak{o}_v^Y is the T_Y -fixed point set of

$$G_Y \mathfrak{o}_v^Y \cap Y = G_Y \mathfrak{f}_{(v,w)}^Y \cap Y = G_Y \dot{w} \dot{v} [H] \cap Y.$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{X \cap G_Y \mathfrak{f}_{(v,w)}^Y} = W_{G_Y} p_{wv}$$

holds. Here W_{G_Y} is the Weyl group of G_Y .

How to prove Main Theorem-III

Final Step

We prove that \mathfrak{z}_H is $N(T)$ -invariant by

1) Choose nice $w \in W(Y)$ s.t. $\ell(s_\alpha w) < \ell(w)$.

2) Using induction on \leq according to its type.

By virtue of 1), our construction of $N(T)$ -action is compatible with the construction of Knop's W -action.

Further application

We can “generalize” Vogan’s c -invariant of symmetric Harish-Chandra modules to general (\mathfrak{g}, H) -modules by using the boundary behaviour along the boundary.